

# View Response

Response #1324965

**From** Dale Evans

[REDACTED]

**Date** Started: 13 May 2026 21:01. Last modified: 13 May 2026 21:01

**Status** Complete

**Title** Mr

**First Name** Dale

**Last Name** Evans

**Organisation** Retired ex Rolls-Royce PLC

**Job Title** Retired

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Visibility** Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM16

If 'other' please explain

In addition applies to PM21 and PM40, all with regard for the need for and impact of the link road in STRA2.

2.

**Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

**If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.**

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified

- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.**

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Link road is a rat run that will carry 1000's of cars per day spoiling the tranquility of the country park and open access to countryside. Current A516 incredibly busy with a lot of HGVs, used as a shortcut A50-A38 already. Noise and fumes making walking/cycling unhealthy/dangerous. A516 mostly single carriageway (whilst A50, A38 dual carriageway). Made worse still by Home Farm estate exit. Presence of link road between new developments will invite further development in future over country park. Case for link road dubious as both Pastures and Home Farm estates operate with single access points acceptably.

5.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.**

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Protect The Hollow and Havenbault from new traffic (as already busy) by blocking off Staker Lane. New houses along Havenbault exit Havenbault whilst upgraded Staker Lane exits rest of estate via A38 roundabout - access north/south via A38, east/west via A50 and via several exits into Derby. A38 and A50 dual carriageways with recent upgrades and planned bypasses. Small development on A516 accessed via A516, connected to rest via footpath. Existing bus routes can be extended into both parts (V1 and Harlequin).

6.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.**

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

# View Response

Response #1324966

**From** Dale Evans

[Redacted]

**Date** Started: 13 May 2026 21:27. Last modified: 13 May 2026 21:27

**Status** Complete

**Title** Mr

**First Name** Dale

**Last Name** Evans

**Organisation** Retired ex Rolls-Royce PLC

**Job Title** Retired

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**Visibility** Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM29

If 'other' please explain

Gypsy provision STRA2

2.

**Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

**If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.**

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective

Consistent with National Policy

4.

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.**

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Currently the plan still does not address the issue of location of estimated 13 pitches required. The could be could be controversial if located near residential properties, indeed I would expect the developer to realise the adjacent new houses would be unsaleable and existing residents would be very upset. This must be handled sensitively.

5.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.**

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The obvious location would be the triangular field to the right as you enter Staker Lane from the A38 roundabout. A small industrial area would then be between these pitches and private housing. Equally the gypsies would find access convenient as they travel north/south on the A38. The site is also well

screened by trees.

6.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.**

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

# View Response

Response #1324971

**From** Dale Evans

[Redacted]

**Date** Started: 13 May 2026 22:20. Last modified: 13 May 2026 22:20

**Status** Complete

**Title** Mr

**First Name** Dale

**Last Name** Evans

**Organisation** Retired ex Rolls-Royce PLC

**Job Title** Retired

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**Visibility** Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM36

If 'other' please explain

STRA2. Also relevant aspects in AM73, visual impact, objective of preserving Pastures Hospital heritage site, of avoiding a megalopolis of continuous housing development that loses the identity of particular areas, the principle of green wedges and veins.

2.

**Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

**If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.**

Please check the relevant box.

Positively Prepared

- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.**

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Important to maintain the identity of historic Pastures site and Burnaston village. What guarantees the inclusive 'green belt' around these is protected from further development? In particular, the field to the right as you enter Pastures has been removed from the plan (private owner does not want to sell land now). This patch of land is essential to complete the ring of 'green belt' around Pastures and specifically separate it from the Home Farm estate on the other side of the A516. Secondly, as alluded to in another representation by this author, the proposed link road threatens the long term existence of the country park at the other end of Pastures. The plan also refers to a horseshoe country park around Pastures when the country park, golf course and 171m strip beyond the end of Mallard Walk are necessary to protect Pastures identity as the old Victorian mental hospital and park land grounds. Nearby Heatherton was sold as a village but the buffers were later built on!

5.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal**

**compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.**

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Find a way to legislate that the field to the right at the entrance to Pastures cannot be developed at a later date. Confirm the 171m strip beyond the end of Mallard Walk. Remove the link road and re-route traffic as detailed in another representation by this author.

6.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.**

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»