

View Response

Response #1324404

From [Community Campaigner David Barton](#)

[REDACTED]

Date Started: 11 May 2026 12:35. Last modified: 11 May 2026 12:35

Status Complete

Title Mr

First Name Community Campaigner David

Last Name Barton

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

Other

If 'other' please explain

Entirety

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Traditional Architecture Design Codes should be a central component across this entire consultation and its various chapters and sections especially concerning new construction. It performs strongly on economic, ecological and environmental grounds as can be attested to by leading figures and organisations with academic studies that corroborate this. Please see my PDF Umbrella Representation for the various merits outlined including Placemaking and Greenery Proposals. A ban on demolition of buildings constructed prior to 1950 should be enacted as soon as possible with reconstructions of previously demolished historic buildings listed or not given full support for restoration and reuse.

Non-Designated Heritage Assets and unclassified historic buildings should also be given full protection and support against their demolition, such as selling of publicly owned historic buildings that will be demolished otherwise.

Historic buildings should be duly reconstructed authentically as they were

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As above

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»