

View Response

Response #1329583

From Concerned resident 2



Date Started: 15 Jun 2026 23:50. Last modified: 15 Jun 2026 23:56

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Diane

Last Name McHugh

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM4

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

PM4 increases the number of dwellings to the west of Mickleover by an additional 250 houses within the plan period (FLG1), yet another development on green field sites in the Mickleover area. The overdevelopment is changing the character of the area into a congested, overpopulated urban sprawl with insufficient infrastructure and facilities. It is stated that this is required partly to help meet Derby City's unmet need and yet Derby city centre is becoming increasingly vacant as retail premises continue to shut down. This need should be met by re-utilising brown field sites within the city boundary.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove FLG1 from the Local plan

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329584

From Concerned resident 2



Date Started: 16 Jun 2026 00:54. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 08:43

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Diane

Last Name McHugh

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM3

If 'other' please explain

General objection to STRA2 in principle

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Mickleover area has recently seen rapid expansion with multiple large scale developments.

The village centre, Uttoxeter Road and in particular the area around The Hollow/Staker Lane/Brookfield School are regularly congested. The village centre lacks adequate off-street parking. Tesco's is often crowded and seems inadequate for the size of the population.

Adding a further 2500 houses (approx 5000 cars & 10000 people) would place significant additional strain on all services without adding sufficient services within the STRA2 plan itself (no GP surgery, dentist or secondary school provision is included in the plan). This is in addition to the 783 houses to the west of Mickleover (policy H19 phase 3b).

The fields around Pastures Estate are home to many species including large birds of prey and owls. STRA2 will destroy these habitats and open up the possibility of further expansion along the A516, destroying more countryside and the rural nature of the Pastures estate.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Removal of STRA2 from the local plan.

Other areas in SDDC should be considered to reach the target housing

numbers instead of the constant expansion around the fringes of Derby City.

Derby City should take accountability for filling its own quota for housing, utilising brownfield sites, regenerating dilapidated areas and replacing failing retail areas in order to protect the landscape and villages in South Derbyshire.

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329630

From Concerned resident 2



Date Started: 16 Jun 2026 10:07. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 10:07

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Diane

Last Name McHugh

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM21

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Whilst I object to STRA2 in principle, if the development goes ahead, given the level of extra traffic, the addition of the new link road in it's proposed form (with the north access where marked on the new indicative layout) is sound. This provides additional routes to the major road networks and diverts traffic away from the village centre.

I also support the transfer of the indicative housing development from the land west of The Hollow to the north access point. This transfers population away from the village centre and improves the green area to the east of Pastures Estate which was very small on the first plan compared to the rest of the estate

The impact in that area would also be minimised if the housing did not extend any further north than the most northern point of the golf course and/or if houses were only built at the bottom of where the land naturally drops away behind the back of Mallard Walk, thus preserving the rural nature around all of the Pastures Estate.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

«No response»

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329632

From Concerned resident 2



Date Started: 16 Jun 2026 10:09. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 10:09

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Diane

Last Name McHugh

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM22

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Whilst I object to STRA2 in principle, if the development goes ahead, given the level of extra traffic, the addition of the new link road in it's proposed form (with the north access where marked on the new indicative layout) is sound. This provides additional routes to the major road networks and diverts traffic away from the village centre.

I also support the transfer of the indicative housing development from the land west of The Hollow to the north access point. This transfers population away from the village centre and improves the green area to the east of Pastures Estate which was very small on the first plan compared to the rest of the estate

The impact in that area would also be minimised if the housing did not extend any further north than the most northern point of the golf course and/or if houses were only built at the bottom of where the land naturally drops away behind the back of Mallard Walk, thus preserving the rural nature around all of the Pastures Estate.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

«No response»

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329633

From Concerned resident 2



Date Started: 16 Jun 2026 10:17. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 10:17

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Diane

Last Name McHugh

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM16

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Whilst I object to STRA2 in principle, if the development goes ahead, given the level of extra traffic it would bring, the addition of the new link road in it's proposed form (with the north access where marked on the new indicative layout) is sound.

This not only provides additional routes to the major road networks but also diverts traffic away from the village centre. If the access to the A516 was on East side of the Pastures Estate this would funnel more traffic towards the village and the congested areas around The Hollow which would be in addition to the already approved development to the east of the Hollow.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

«No response»

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»