

View Response

Response #1329393

From GAT

[REDACTED]

Date Started: 14 Jun 2026 12:54. Last modified: 14 Jun 2026 12:54

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Gaynor

Last Name Thornton

Organisation Private resident

Job Title retired

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM16

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective

Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There is no robust evidence shown for the need for a single access road to the North of the site. No evidence of alternative routes has been demonstrated which could accommodate a bus route. All other developments in the area have a single point of access and this would be a third access in to the development. The HMA transport report acknowledges that the main access will be via A38 Findern island. There is no justification for a road on the North side of the site where addition housing and the road encroach on the separation land.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The councils consultation documents state 'no reasonable alternatives have

been identified' therefore this needs fully exploring before any decision can be made

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329394

From GAT

[REDACTED]

Date Started: 14 Jun 2026 13:12. Last modified: 14 Jun 2026 13:12

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Gaynor

Last Name Thornton

Organisation Private resident

Job Title retired

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM21

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective

Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The transport modelling has evidenced minimal traffic mitigation . The modelling is in respect to the general area and is not site specific. No reasonable alternatives have been investigated. HMA transport modelling states the main access will be via A38 Findern island despite any new road. Road safety has been overlooked with an additional island on A516 where and existing island is an accident hotspot. The road would have and environmental impact and encroach on the previously identified need for a green corridor and separation between Mickleover Country Park and Burnaston

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Site specific traffic modelling and evidence to support this major modification from the original plan

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»

View Response

Response #1329570

From GAT

Date Started: 15 Jun 2026 18:29. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 11:15

Status Complete

Title Mrs

First Name Gaynor

Last Name Thornton

Organisation Private resident

Job Title retired

Address Line 1 Swallow Barn

Address Line 2 Millway Lane

Address Town Burnaston

County Derby

Address Post Code DS65 6PN

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM22

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective

Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The plan is inaccurate . It is not possible to identify existing features on the plan of the site and the key is impossible to interpret The colours of the key are too similar to be able to understand what is intended and where it is located. The housing allocation appears as a slightly different shade of green making it difficult to know where the housing is to be located.

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan should be discounted as it is not a sound, accurate document. It needs to be clear, well defined and have an understandable key. Scale needs to be introduced to clarify how much the road reduces the separation land and green infrastructure and demonstrate the prevention of coalescence

between Mickleover country park and Burnaston. The plan needs clarity and accuracy to make an informed decision.

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»