

View Response

Response #1327147

From [Gordon Harrison](#)

[REDACTED]

Date Started: 28 May 2026 15:57. Last modified: 28 May 2026 15:57

Status Complete

First Name Gordon

Last Name Harrison

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Visibility Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM21

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

PM21 a “vehicular road between the A516 and A38...shall be provided as part ...” is unsound, and potentially the council will violate their legal obligations to protect the public from foreseeable harm under the Design Stage; section 39 of the Road Traffic Act 1988.

It's unsound to build a entry/exit road on the A516 Etwall Road, with the already dangerous Mickleover Interchange. This junction has regular accidents there, and as of the 28/05/2026 three out of the warning signs put up on the island have been damaged/destroyed, and an armco barrier damaged. Accidents are a regular occurrence and not all are reported. From the interchange to the proposed road, its a dual carriage way narrowing to a single lane. From the evidence of the existing interchange, it's unsound to add another junction, and it will be foreseeable that it will be unsafe and harm will result. I struggle to see how the existing interchange was ever thought of as one that "protects the public from foreseeable harm".

5.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The modification would be not to build the road. It will be built onto an already dangerous road, and will result in foreseeable harm.

6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

7.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please write your answer in the box below.

«No response»