

# View Response

Response #1329614

**From** [Howard Bromley](#)

[REDACTED]

**Date** Started: 16 Jun 2026 09:25. Last modified: 16 Jun 2026 09:25

**Status** Complete

**Title** Mr

**First Name** Howard

**Last Name** Bromley

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Visibility** Unknown.

1.

To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Please provide the reference of the policy, paragraph, policies map, evidence etc that your comment relates to. Please fill out a separate questionnaire form for each element of the plan (i.e. policy, paragraph, site, document) that you wish to comment on.

If comments do not provide a reference, or are submitted on a single form and relate to multiple elements of the Plan, then the Council will assign and/or separate these points out as it considers most appropriate for submission to the Planning Inspectorate.

You must provide an answer to this question.

PM21

If 'other' please explain

«No response»

2.

**Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please check one.

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Sound	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3.

**If you checked 'No' to Sound at question 2 above, please confirm below which of the 'tests' the Local Plan fails to meet.**

Please check the relevant box.

- Positively Prepared
- Justified
- Effective
- Consistent with National Policy

4.

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.**

Please be as precise as possible (e.g., if objecting on the basis of legal compliance, please quote the specific law that the Local Plan does not comply with). If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

It is not obvious from the information / evidence provided that the full due legal process has been followed. The transport modelling does not isolate the impact of the link road on its own, but bundles it together with multiple wider infrastructure interventions.

5.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.**

(Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

More detailed transport modelling is required to fully demonstrate that the link road is required and is fully justified.  
Realistic alternatives should be identified and assessed.

6.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you**

**consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

Please check the relevant box

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions.
- Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions.

**7.**

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.**

Please write your answer in the box below.

To make sure my representation has been fully considered and understood. SDDC need to demonstrate that supporting evidence can robustly justify their proposal for the link road for STRA2 and that this is not just a way to open up land for further development in the future.