View Response

Response #1296486

From	[redacted]
Date	Started: 27 Nov 2025 11:04. Last modified: 27 Nov 2025 11:07
Status	Complete
Title	[redacted]
First Name	Andy
Last Name	Broadhurst
Organisation	Derbyshire Swift Conservation Project
Job Title	N/A
Address Line 1	[redacted]
Address Line 2	[redacted]
Address Town	[redacted]
County	[redacted]
Address Post Code	[redacted]
Visibility	Unknown.

1.

Should the Council produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing planning system, or should a single full Local Plan Review be undertaken under the new system? (please tick one option and provide a reason for your answer)

- ( ) Produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing system
- ( ) Produce a single Full Local Plan under the new system
- (•) Other

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

2.

Where should the housing needs be met? (Please provide site details including projected delivery timescales and the specific need being met).

«No response»

3.

Are there other mechanisms that the plan should consider to securing housing delivery in addition to allocating specific sites (e.g. identifying indicative broad locations/areas for future growth adjacent to existing settlements, extending settlement boundaries, supporting certain Changes of Use)? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

4.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when ensuring the timely delivery of the right homes in the right places (e.g. policy criteria for looked after children, re-allocating unimplemented employment allocations)? (Please provide an answer to your question)

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

5.

What specific accommodation needs should the Local Plan address through allocations (e.g. extra care accommodation for older people, care home provision for looked after children etc.)? (Please provide details)

«No response»

6.

What could the Council do to speed up delivery on strategic allocations? (Please provide details)

«No response»

7.

Should the Council allocate land South of Mackworth for development within the plan period? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

8.

Are there other steps that the Council could take to accelerate housing delivery on other sites? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

9.

Do you agree that settlement boundaries should be drawn around the urban edge of Derby, Drakelow and Stanton and any new allocations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

10.

Do you agree with the principles for assessing the settlement boundaries, as set out in Appendix 1? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

11.

What issues regarding the settlement boundaries should the Council consider? (Please provide a comment)

«No response»

12.

Do you agree with the retail hierarchy? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

13.

Should the Council redefine the retail centre in Swadlincote as a commercial centre which would allow for a wider range of uses? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

14.

What issues regarding Swadlincote Town Centre redevelopment should the Council consider? (Please provide details)

«No response»

15.

Should the Council define the boundaries of all of the retail areas within the District? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes

- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

16.

Should the Council include a locally specific Green Belt policy (beyond the NPPF policy) that defines what appropriate development is within the context of 'disproportionate' and 'limited infilling'? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

17.

If you answered yes to question 16, what should the policy consider?

«No response»

18.

Should the Council undertake a Green Belt review with a specific objective of identifying what, if any, Grey Belt exists within the district? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

19.

What other sites and/or options should the Council consider for providing Gypsy and Traveller accommodation? (Please provide details)

«No response»

20.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers? (Please provide details)

«No response»

21.

Are there any additional policies/other issues that the Local Plan should address? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

Policy BNE3: Biodiversity:
“Swift bricks should be incorporated within developments (including refurbishments, extensions and new build) to provide nesting and roosting opportunities for birds, including species under threat such as swifts, house sparrows, house martins, and starlings, in addition to integral bat boxes. Swift bricks should be installed in accordance with best-practice guidance, e.g. BS 42021 or CIEEM, which recommends at least one swift brick per dwelling on average in each development, installed as high as possible.
Swift bricks are also used by house sparrows and other small bird species so are considered a ‘universal nest brick’. Integrated nesting bricks are preferred to external boxes for reasons of longevity, zero maintenance requirements, better temperature regulation with future climate change in mind, and aesthetic integration with the building design. Masonry-fronted swift bricks will regulate the internal temperature so can be placed on any elevation, but ideally should be installed under shade-casting eaves or avoiding southerly elevations. They should be installed in groups of at least two or three if practical, with at least 5m clear drop beneath, and preferably with a 5m clearance between the host building and other buildings or obstructions. Where possible, avoid siting swift bricks above windows or doors. Where swift bricks are not practical due to the nature of construction of the development, alternative designs of suitable swift nest boxes should be provided in their place”

22.

Are there any amendments required to the existing policies to reflect implemented changes to national planning policy, policy guidance or Regulations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

23.

Are there better/alternative mechanisms that the Council should use to secure the required infrastructure? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

24.

Where there is the potential need for long-term management / maintenance of open space, SUDS or other infrastructure, should the Council introduce a policy requiring these to be adopted by a public authority unless there is clear evidence that this is not feasible. (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

25.

Do you have any further/additional comments? (Please provide details)

«No response»