

View Response

Response #1303095

From

[REDACTED]

Date

Started: 15 Jan 2026 10:34. Last modified: 15 Jan 2026 10:56

Status

Complete

Title

[REDACTED]

First Name

Chris

Last Name

Hopkinson

Address Line 1

[REDACTED]

Address Line 2

[REDACTED]

Address Town

[REDACTED]

County

[REDACTED]

Address Post Code

[REDACTED]

Visibility

Unknown.

1.

Should the Council produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing planning system, or should a single full Local Plan Review be undertaken under the new system? (please tick one option and provide a reason for your answer)

- ☒ Produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing system
- ☐ Produce a single Full Local Plan under the new system

☐ Other

Please enter any additional comments

It needs completing and adopting to prevent speculative developers applying. At the moment it is open season for them as they will use the appeal process to override the Planning Dept decisions. As demonstrated at Kings Newton.

2.

Where should the housing needs be met? (Please provide site details including projected delivery timescales and the specific need being met).

Brownfield sites. Existing land banks within the existing boundaries where permission already granted. Grey field within Green belt if appropriate.

3.

Are there other mechanisms that the plan should consider to securing housing delivery in addition to allocating specific sites (e.g. identifying indicative broad locations/areas for future growth adjacent to existing settlements, extending settlement boundaries, supporting certain Changes of Use)? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

Do not extend boundaries. Push Derby City to use there land taking the pressure of SDDC. Ensure that Developer do not pay "lip service" to what will be done for the local community, such as, road infrastructure, schools, GP's, enhanced bus services, utility and drainage upgrades.

4.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when ensuring the timely delivery of the right homes in the right places (e.g. policy criteria for looked after children, re-allocating unimplemented employment allocations)? (Please provide an answer to your question)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Ensure that Developer do not pay "lip service" to what will be done for the local community, such as, road infrastructure, schools, GP's, enhanced bus services, utility and drainage upgrades

5.

What specific accommodation needs should the Local Plan address through allocations (e.g. extra care accommodation for older people, care home provision for looked after children etc.)? (Please provide details)

Childcare availability so people can drop local and travel to work. Ensure that facilities are already available, not after the development is done.

6.

What could the Council do to speed up delivery on strategic allocations? (Please provide details)

Talk with developers to see what they can do that fits, not wait for an application to land on a desk.

7.

Should the Council allocate land South of Mackworth for development within the plan period? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

Do not close the gap to Mickleover, expand North so towards Mackworth village, but not up to it.

8.

Are there other steps that the Council could take to accelerate housing delivery on other sites? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

9.

Do you agree that settlement boundaries should be drawn around the urban edge of Derby, Drakelow and Stanton and any new allocations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

To prevent urban sprawl and the joining up of communities.

10.

Do you agree with the principles for assessing the settlement boundaries, as set out in Appendix 1? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Without impacting onto wildlife corridors and keeping potential for any urban sprawl connecting communities up restricted.

11.

What issues regarding the settlement boundaries should the Council consider? (Please provide a comment)

Impacting onto wildlife corridors and keeping potential for any urban sprawl connecting communities up restricted.

12.

Do you agree with the retail hierarchy? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

13.

Should the Council redefine the retail centre in Swadlincote as a commercial centre which would allow for a wider range of uses? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Keeps things together in one place, so becomes a "hub"

14.

What issues regarding Swadlincote Town Centre redevelopment should the Council consider? (Please provide details)

«No response»

15.

Should the Council define the boundaries of all of the retail areas within the District? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Prevents spreading into housing areas. Small local shops etc are acceptable. But a restriction on food outlets, so set a guideline of say 1 shop, Post office, hot food. No "Express" outlets, they damage local shops.

16.

Should the Council include a locally specific Green Belt policy (beyond the NPPF policy) that defines what appropriate development is within the context of 'disproportionate' and 'limited infilling'? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Policies at higher level get changed by Government policies without any consideration to local communities. Normally to hit a Political agenda and keep sponsors and voters happy.

17.

If you answered yes to question 16, what should the policy consider?

Local issues and concerns.

18.

Should the Council undertake a Green Belt review with a specific objective of identifying what, if any, Grey Belt exists within the district? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

An available source of land for development.

19.

What other sites and/or options should the Council consider for providing Gypsy and Traveller accommodation? (Please provide details)

Grey Belt

20.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers? (Please provide details)

Ensuring there is local transport, schools, GP's etc available in the area. Local peoples comments

21.

Are there any additional policies/other issues that the Local Plan should address? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

22.

Are there any amendments required to the existing policies to reflect implemented changes to national planning policy, policy guidance or Regulations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

23.

Are there better/alternative mechanisms that the Council should use to secure the required infrastructure? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Ensure that developers spend the "agreed" funding before development

starts. So, road infrastructure completed, utilities and drainage upgraded if needed, GP surgeries are built not just a space allocated. There is too much "after the event" work, it only seems to happen when the existing and new community are impacted.

24.

Where there is the potential need for long-term management / maintenance of open space, SUDS or other infrastructure, should the Council introduce a policy requiring these to be adopted by a public authority unless there is clear evidence that this is not feasible. (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Either LA or STWA. STWA need to adopt any attenuation ponds, drainage and Foul pumping stations early on, or by a set period into the development. If they are not adopted by the specified time then the LA have an option to suspend the development. While the developer is on site, he will keep it functioning in what ever way they can, after the development they are gone, and the issues then fall to the local community to try and sort. Alternatively, and an annual fee to the new home owners to cover any costs. Then the pressure is on the developer to get it right and adopted, nothing better than a "here's your new property, but here's an annual bill for maintenance of the drainage because we (the developer) have failed to get it finished" to get things moving! A tough one to action, but no harm in trying!

25.

Do you have any further/additional comments? (Please provide details)

Ensure that speculative development info is correct during the validation process and throw it out. An existing one in Aston has so much out of date info such as, google earth pictures for 10 years ago, reference to villages and area not even near the village, incorrect description's and references. These are supposed to be "professional" proposals. They must work on the flood the Planning Dept and see what gets through as they know you, as Planners, have finite resources and time!