

View Response

Response #1304477

From

[REDACTED]

Date

Started: 21 Jan 2026 11:35. Last modified: 21 Jan 2026 12:12

Status

Complete

Title

[REDACTED]

First Name

Neill

Last Name

Forrest

Organisation

[REDACTED]

Job Title

[REDACTED]

Address Line 1

[REDACTED]

Address Line 2

[REDACTED]

Address Town

[REDACTED]

County

[REDACTED]

Address Post Code

[REDACTED]

Visibility

Unknown.

1.

Should the Council produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing planning system, or should a single full Local Plan Review be undertaken under the new system? (please tick one option and provide a reason for your answer)

- ☒ Produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing system
- ☐ Produce a single Full Local Plan under the new system
- ☐ Other

Please enter any additional comments

This needs to be completed before any local government re-organisation to ensure the needs of the district are on record.

2.

Where should the housing needs be met? (Please provide site details including projected delivery timescales and the specific need being met).

Development needs to be pushed less from Derby City to South Derbyshire. Houses need to have good transport links to employment centres - mainly Derby and Swadlincote. Houses should not be built where it will lead to the merging of villages. The rural road network south of Burton/ Swadlincote cannot cope with the current population and should not be subject to increased population without being upgraded.

3.

Are there other mechanisms that the plan should consider to securing housing delivery in addition to allocating specific sites (e.g. identifying indicative broad locations/areas for future growth adjacent to existing settlements, extending settlement boundaries, supporting certain Changes of Use)? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

There are less than 100 houses on the existing strategic allocation these should be built where the existing infrastructure and services can absorb them.

4.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when ensuring the timely delivery of the right homes in the right places (e.g. policy criteria for looked after children, re-allocating unimplemented employment allocations)? (Please provide an answer to your question)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Housing mix. Definitely more bungalows/ smaller properties for an aging population.

5.

What specific accommodation needs should the Local Plan address through allocations (e.g. extra care accommodation for older people, care home provision for looked after children etc.)? (Please provide details)

Local plan must always include all provisions for care, access to medical services, schooling and public transport.

6.

What could the Council do to speed up delivery on strategic allocations? (Please provide details)

Infrastructure. 106s should be signed in advance and infrastructure needs to be made to go in early.

7.

Should the Council allocate land South of Mackworth for development within the plan period? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Yes but Mickleover and Mackworth should not be merging. I also thought the land South on Mackworth village up to Brun Lane already has planning permission.

8.

Are there other steps that the Council could take to accelerate housing delivery on other sites? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Better engagement with developers

9.

Do you agree that settlement boundaries should be drawn around the urban edge of Derby, Drakelow and Stanton and any new allocations?

(Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

All major urban areas and new developments need proper boundaries and appropriate access to services and retail/ commercial. Merging of the rural villages must not be allowed to happen and neither should they be allowed to coalesce by solar farms and BESS's. There should be settlement boundaries before developments start. For instance Dracan/Drakelow should not be allowed to expand beyond the old power station boundary.

10.

Do you agree with the principles for assessing the settlement boundaries, as set out in Appendix 1? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

In general yes but.... Hatton is too low on the list. I also do not understand the separation of local service villages and rural villages. My inference is that rural villages do not get services/ retail yet, from experience Walton on Trent desperately needs a small shop and/or much improved public transport for access to shopping.

11.

What issues regarding the settlement boundaries should the Council consider? (Please provide a comment)

Stick to the statement "Drawn tightly"

12.

Do you agree with the retail hierarchy? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

Walton on Trent desperately needs a small retail premises. If Rosliston and Coton in the Elms are local service villages then so should Walton on Trent. Walton has a larger population than these villages.

13.

Should the Council redefine the retail centre in Swadlincote as a commercial centre which would allow for a wider range of uses? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

In general Swadlincote retail is avoided from Walton and Burton used in

preference. Commercial reclassification would allow a much better and more dynamic mix of retailers and services.

14.

What issues regarding Swadlincote Town Centre redevelopment should the Council consider? (Please provide details)

Needs major refresh and marketing to attract people in the villages to go there rather than Burton on Trent.

15.

Should the Council define the boundaries of all of the retail areas within the District? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

Assuming single village shop is not in a retail area designation.

16.

Should the Council include a locally specific Green Belt policy (beyond the NPPF policy) that defines what appropriate development is within the context of 'disproportionate' and 'limited infilling'? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

17.

If you answered yes to question 16, what should the policy consider?

«No response»

18.

Should the Council undertake a Green Belt review with a specific objective of identifying what, if any, Grey Belt exists within the district? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

It is not strictly green belt but all development must avoid the coalescence of rural villages.

19.

What other sites and/or options should the Council consider for providing Gypsy and Traveller accommodation? (Please provide details)

There is a need for a controlled, strategic site to avoid the current chaos that is happening at Overseal.

20.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers? (Please provide details)

it feels like this all needs to be dealt with at county level.

21.

Are there any additional policies/other issues that the Local Plan should address? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

More protection of tress and the National Forest. Better and earlier resolution of 106 conditions. Proper review of the rural road situation and improvements to be made where needed. Stop allowing agricultural land to be covered by solar and batteries - national policy is needed to cover carparks and factories.

22.

Are there any amendments required to the existing policies to reflect implemented changes to national planning policy, policy guidance or Regulations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Better enforcement of the plan. Influencing national policy on renewables as mentioned above. better protection of agricultural land and no usage change by stealth.

23.

Are there better/alternative mechanisms that the Council should use to secure the required infrastructure? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Walton on Trent Bypass/ bridge is a prime example of how not to do this. It Should have been built earlier as per the original planning permission for the Drakelow site. All developments that relied on the bypass should have been forced to contribute financially (especially the incinerator at Drakelow). S106s should be signed and agreed before building is allowed to start. Again Drakelow still has no bus service despite it now having some 800 occupied properties. Better engagement with Parish councils will be needed going forward after the LRG proposals are put into place.

24.

Where there is the potential need for long-term management / maintenance of open space, SUDS or other infrastructure, should the

Council introduce a policy requiring these to be adopted by a public authority unless there is clear evidence that this is not feasible. (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Private services are for profit and are there to maximise shareholder return rather than provide services so the councils should take more of these on. The way potholes are filled in is ridiculous and done in a way that solely makes profit for the private companies. If a stretch of road needs potholes filled, all should be done, not the odd one.

25.

Do you have any further/additional comments? (Please provide details)

The plan must be adhered to. Agricultural land needs protecting. Rural villages should not be allowed to coalesce. The council should not allow all our land to be covered in batteries and solar although the need for renewables is recognised.