View Response

Response #1306606

From	[redacted]
Date	Started: 28 Jan 2026 19:42. Last modified: 28 Jan 2026 19:50
Status	Complete
First Name	Thomas
Last Name	Scanlon
Organisation	N/A
Job Title	N/A
Address Line 1	[redacted]
Address Line 2	[redacted]
Address Town	[redacted]
County	[redacted]
Address Post Code	[redacted]
Visibility	Unknown.

1.

Should the Council produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing planning system, or should a single full Local Plan Review be undertaken under the new system? (please tick one option and provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing system

- ( ) Produce a single Full Local Plan under the new system
- ( ) Other

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

2.

Where should the housing needs be met? (Please provide site details including projected delivery timescales and the specific need being met).

In Swadlincote and areas adjacent to Derby. Not in Melbourne where the infrastructure is over-stretched already.

3.

Are there other mechanisms that the plan should consider to securing housing delivery in addition to allocating specific sites (e.g. identifying indicative broad locations/areas for future growth adjacent to existing settlements, extending settlement boundaries, supporting certain Changes of Use)? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

Consider proximity of jobs and ensure that housing delivery is where it is needed and not to maximise the profits of developers
s

4.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when ensuring the timely delivery of the right homes in the right places (e.g. policy criteria for looked after children, re-allocating unimplemented employment allocations)? (Please provide an answer to your question)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

Make sure they have a robust and defendable 5 year housing supply unlike the appeal for Land South of Kings Newton Lane where SDDC could not defend their housing figures at all.

5.

What specific accommodation needs should the Local Plan address through allocations (e.g. extra care accommodation for older people, care home provision for looked after children etc.)? (Please provide details)

Definitely accommodation for older people. For instance in Melbourne the care home closed, there is a ageing population but very few bungalows to accommodate the ageing population. The recent appeal against the development South of Kings Newton Lane was approved without any requirement to meet the needs of the ageing population. Absolutely disgraceful.

6.

What could the Council do to speed up delivery on strategic allocations? (Please provide details)

Make sure their numbers for housing supply add up and find land for the shortfall.

7.

Should the Council allocate land South of Mackworth for development within the plan period? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

It's adjacent to Derby so should be allocated.

8.

Are there other steps that the Council could take to accelerate housing delivery on other sites? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

9.

Do you agree that settlement boundaries should be drawn around the

urban edge of Derby, Drakelow and Stanton and any new allocations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

I feel they should but recent planning enquiry decisions have ignored settlement boundaries.

10.

Do you agree with the principles for assessing the settlement boundaries, as set out in Appendix 1? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

Yes but see answer above.

11.

What issues regarding the settlement boundaries should the Council consider? (Please provide a comment)

«No response»

12.

Do you agree with the retail hierarchy? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

The high street needs to be flexible in order for it to compete with on-line sales.

13.

Should the Council redefine the retail centre in Swadlincote as a commercial centre which would allow for a wider range of uses? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

14.

What issues regarding Swadlincote Town Centre redevelopment should the Council consider? (Please provide details)

Keep the council offices and swimming pool. Everything will change when the new unitary authorities arrive.

15.

Should the Council define the boundaries of all of the retail areas within the District? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

Yes otherwise shops may be appear anywhere with no coherent shopping areas are defined.

16.

Should the Council include a locally specific Green Belt policy (beyond the NPPF policy) that defines what appropriate development is within the context of 'disproportionate' and 'limited infilling'? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

Of course they should otherwise the green belt with loose definition.

17.

If you answered yes to question 16, what should the policy consider?

The need for communities not to coalesce.

18.

Should the Council undertake a Green Belt review with a specific objective of identifying what, if any, Grey Belt exists within the district? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

19.

What other sites and/or options should the Council consider for providing Gypsy and Traveller accommodation? (Please provide details)

Provide sites that will be acceptable to the travellers - they don't really want to stay in one place,

20.

Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers? (Please provide details)

No

21.

Are there any additional policies/other issues that the Local Plan should address? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

Swarkestone causeway is a stranglehold on economic development in South Derbyshire. Funding must be found from central government to replace it.

22.

Are there any amendments required to the existing policies to reflect implemented changes to national planning policy, policy guidance or Regulations? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

23.

Are there better/alternative mechanisms that the Council should use to secure the required infrastructure? (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Please enter any additional comments

The council must recognise the significant impact that Swarkestone Causeway has on the quality of life for local people and must get funding from central government for its replacement.

24.

Where there is the potential need for long-term management / maintenance of open space, SUDS or other infrastructure, should the Council introduce a policy requiring these to be adopted by a public authority unless there is clear evidence that this is not feasible. (Please provide a reason for your answer)

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

25.

Do you have any further/additional comments? (Please provide details)

«No response»