

# View Response

Response #1307296

**From**

[REDACTED]

**Date**

Started: 30 Jan 2026 16:41. Last modified: 30 Jan 2026 16:52

**Status**

Complete

**Title**

[REDACTED]

**First Name**

Robert

**Last Name**

Adey

**Organisation**

[REDACTED]

**Job Title**

[REDACTED]

**Address Line 1**

[REDACTED]

**Address Line 2**

[REDACTED]

**Address Town**

[REDACTED]

**County**

[REDACTED]

**Address Post Code**

[REDACTED]

**Visibility**

Unknown.

1.

**Should the Council produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing planning system, or should a single full Local Plan Review be undertaken under the new system?** (please tick one option and provide a reason for your answer)

- ☒ Produce a Local Plan Part 2 Review under the existing system
- ☐ Produce a single Full Local Plan under the new system
- ☐ Other

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

2.

**Where should the housing needs be met?** (Please provide site details including projected delivery timescales and the specific need being met).

Part 2 is a relatively small part of overall numbers and should be spread in proportion to existing settlement . NPPF allows nearby smaller settlements to share services to allow new housing which will support existing or new services. A clustering policy has been used in other places to allow smaller settlements to thrive.

Searching out new opportunities and collaborating across borders is important to boost the area

Mercia park is an important employment site taking advantage of being in the "CORE GOLDEN TRIANGLE" for strategic distribution . South Derbyshire should try and utilize opportunity from the existing Mercia park and the extension and we can gain from the south of the districts same excellent proximity to the M42/A42 and wider Midlands and National access. Acresford is extremely close to Junct11 M42/A42 and sits by 1 of South Derbyshire most important roads, small but a much closer look reveals surprisingly strong bus route, busy pub with lot of trade, 5 good employment opportunities within 500 metres 2 south derbyshire industrial units near Grangewood and another larger industrial estate 2 miles away at Measham and the very large employment sites Again in Leicestershire at Mercia Park, many services available in the cluster of nearby villages spanning the 4 county borders nearby.

Though more complimentary like a jigsaw than a mirror image entries in Acresford are in NWLDC shela and when a very similar closer look was made

a very significant improvement to site scoring emerged and the chance to co ordinate ideas easily exists.

3.

**Are there other mechanisms that the plan should consider to securing housing delivery in addition to allocating specific sites (e.g. identifying indicative broad locations/areas for future growth adjacent to existing settlements, extending settlement boundaries, supporting certain Changes of Use)?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Clustering should be used to maintain and enhance hamlets and villages. Sothern areas should be allowed to compliment NWLDC plans to benefit all.

4.

**Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when ensuring the timely delivery of the right homes in the right places (e.g. policy criteria for looked after children, re-allocating unimplemented employment allocations)?** (Please provide an answer to your question)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

5.

**What specific accommodation needs should the Local Plan address through allocations (e.g. extra care accommodation for older people, care home provision for looked after children etc.)?** (Please provide details)

Covid revealed serious issues regarding appropriate accommodation and services to effectively meet essential occupation provision. A would be better rather than essential test when considering new accommodation for Vital service workers.

6.

**What could the Council do to speed up delivery on strategic allocations?** (Please provide details)

«No response»

7.

**Should the Council allocate land South of Mackworth for development within the plan period?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

8.

**Are there other steps that the Council could take to accelerate housing delivery on other sites?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

9.

**Do you agree that settlement boundaries should be drawn around the urban edge of Derby, Drakelow and Stanton and any new allocations?**  
(Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

10.

**Do you agree with the principles for assessing the settlement**

**boundaries, as set out in Appendix 1?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Settlement boundaries but also a complimentary CLUSTERING policy for smaller settlements

11.

**What issues regarding the settlement boundaries should the Council consider?** (Please provide a comment)

«No response»

12.

**Do you agree with the retail hierarchy?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

13.

**Should the Council redefine the retail centre in Swadlincote as a commercial centre which would allow for a wider range of uses?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

14.

**What issues regarding Swadlincote Town Centre redevelopment should the Council consider?** (Please provide details)

«No response»

15.

**Should the Council define the boundaries of all of the retail areas within the District?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

16.

**Should the Council include a locally specific Green Belt policy (beyond the NPPF policy) that defines what appropriate development is within the context of 'disproportionate' and 'limited infilling'?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

17.

**If you answered yes to question 16, what should the policy consider?**

«No response»

18.

**Should the Council undertake a Green Belt review with a specific objective of identifying what, if any, Grey Belt exists within the district?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

19.

**What other sites and/or options should the Council consider for providing Gypsy and Traveller accommodation?** (Please provide details)

«No response»

20.

**Are there any other issues that the Council should consider when meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers?** (Please provide details)

«No response»

21.

**Are there any additional policies/other issues that the Local Plan should address?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

Clustering to boost smaller settlements

22.

**Are there any amendments required to the existing policies to reflect implemented changes to national planning policy, policy guidance or Regulations?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

23.

**Are there better/alternative mechanisms that the Council should use to secure the required infrastructure?** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

24.

**Where there is the potential need for long-term management / maintenance of open space, SUDS or other infrastructure, should the Council introduce a policy requiring these to be adopted by a public authority unless there is clear evidence that this is not feasible.** (Please provide a reason for your answer)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

25.

**Do you have any further/additional comments?** (Please provide details)

Large shelaasubmissions in neighbouring counties near the south of the district and their worries of needing more housing when HS2 is delivered. Especially from southerners leapfrogging 100 miles to get much better bang for your buck housing near Birmingham HS2